

Running head: MEDITATION REDUCES LONELINESS

Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Training Reduces Loneliness and Pro-Inflammatory
Gene Expression in Older Adults: A Small Randomized Controlled Trial

J. David Creswell¹‡, Michael R. Irwin^{2,3}, Lisa J. Burkland³, Matthew D. Lieberman³,
Jesusa M. G. Arevalo², Jeffrey Ma², Elizabeth Crabb Breen², Steven W. Cole²

¹ Department of Psychology and Center for the Neural Basis of Cognition, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213

² Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, Department of Psychiatry & Biobehavioral Sciences, 300 Medical Plaza, Los Angeles, CA, 90095

³ Departments of Psychology, Psychiatry, & Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Ave, Los Angeles, CA, 90095

‡ Corresponding Author: J. David Creswell, Department of Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University. 5000 Forbes Ave, Pittsburgh, PA. (creswell@cmu.edu; 412-268-9182).

Registered on Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01532596

Abstract

Lonely older adults have increased expression of pro-inflammatory genes as well as increased risk for morbidity and mortality. Previous behavioral treatments have attempted to reduce loneliness and its concomitant health risks, but have had limited success. The present study tested whether the 8-week *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR)* program (compared to a *Wait-List* control group) reduces loneliness and downregulates loneliness-related pro-inflammatory gene expression in older adults (N=40). Consistent with study predictions, mixed effect linear models indicated that the *MBSR* program reduced loneliness, compared to small increases in loneliness in the control group (treatment condition \times time interaction: $F(1,35)=7.86, p=.008$). Moreover, at baseline, there was an association between reported loneliness and upregulated pro-inflammatory NF- κ B-related gene expression in circulating leukocytes, and *MBSR* downregulated this NF- κ B-associated gene expression profile at post-treatment. Finally, there was a trend for *MBSR* to reduce C Reactive Protein (treatment condition \times time interaction: $F(1,33)=3.39, p=.075$). This work provides an initial indication that *MBSR* may be a novel treatment approach for reducing loneliness and related pro-inflammatory gene expression in older adults.

Keywords: meditation; mindfulness; older adults; aging; loneliness; genetics; gene expression; stress

Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Training Reduces Loneliness and Pro-Inflammatory
Gene Expression in Older Adults: A Small Randomized Controlled Trial

"Usually we regard loneliness as an enemy. Heartache is not something we choose to invite in. It's restless and pregnant and hot with the desire to escape and find something or someone to keep us company. When we can rest in the middle [through meditation practice], we begin to have a nonthreatening relationship with loneliness, a relaxing and cooling loneliness that completely turns our usual fearful patterns upside down"

-- Pema Chodron (2000), Buddhist nun and teacher

Feeling lonely is a significant risk factor for morbidity and mortality in older adults. For example, lonely older adults have increased risk for cardiovascular disease (Olsen et al., 1991; Thurston and Kubzansky, 2009), Alzheimer's disease (Wilson et al., 2007), and all-cause mortality (Tilvis et al., 2011). Developing effective treatments to reduce loneliness in older adults is thus essential, but previous treatment efforts have had limited success (Findlay, 2003; Masi et al., 2011). As Pema Chodron suggests above, meditation practice may provide a middle way for reducing one's feelings of loneliness (Chodron, 2000). Loneliness has been described as a state of social distress that arises when there is a discrepancy between one's desired and actual social relationships (Russell et al., 1980; Cacioppo and Hawkley, 2009). Previous mindfulness meditation studies using the standardized 8-week *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction* (MBSR) program show that MBSR reduces measures of distress and negative affect in healthy and patient populations (for reviews, Brown et al., 2007; Hölzel et al., 2011) and can improve social relationship functioning in couples (Carson et al., 2004), although no studies have tested whether the MBSR program can reduce loneliness. Our primary aim was thus to test

1
2
3
4 whether MBSR reduces loneliness in a small randomized controlled trial in older adults
5
6
7 (N=40).
8

9 If the MBSR program reduces loneliness, it offers the intriguing possibility that
10 mindfulness meditation training may also alter gene expression dynamics and protein
11 markers of inflammation (i.e., C Reactive Protein (CRP) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6)) that
12 are implicated in the physical health risks observed in lonely older adults (Cole et al.,
13 2007). Several studies indicate that MBSR may reduce protein biomarkers of
14 inflammation (Carlson et al., 2003, 2007; Lengacher et al., 2012), and inflammation is
15 known to play a major role in structuring the development and progression of many
16 diseases that drive late-life morbidity and mortality (Finch, 2007). Moreover, recent
17 research shows that immune cells from lonely older adults have increased expression of
18 genes involved in inflammation (Cole et al., 2007, 2011). Bioinformatic analyses of the
19 signaling pathways regulating gene expression suggest that loneliness may activate a
20 biological defensive program mediated by the pro-inflammatory transcription factor, NF-
21 κ B, in monocytes (Cole et al., 2007, 2011; Irwin and Cole, 2011; Antoni et al., 2012).
22 Therefore we also tested whether MBSR reduces loneliness-related pro-inflammatory
23 gene expression and circulating protein biomarkers of inflammation (as measured by
24 CRP and IL-6).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Methods

49 *Participants*

50
51 Randomized participants (N=40) were healthy older adults (age 55-85 years; $M=$
52 65 $SD= 7$) recruited via newspaper advertisements from the Los Angeles area, who
53 indicated an interest in learning mindfulness meditation techniques (a self-selected
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 group). The sample was 64% Caucasian, 12% African American, 10% Latino, 7% Asian
5
6 American, and 5% Other, and was predominantly female (33 women). The trial occurred
7
8 during October 2007-January 2008. All participants provided written informed consent at
9
10 the study screening. All study procedures were approved by the UCLA and CMU
11
12 Institutional Review Boards.
13
14

15 16 17 *Procedure*

18
19 To determine eligibility, interested participants were phone screened and invited
20
21 for an in-person evaluation. To qualify for the study, participants had to be English-
22
23 speaking, not currently practicing any mind-body therapies more than once per week
24
25 (e.g., meditation, yoga), non-smokers, mentally and physically healthy for the last three
26
27 months, and not currently taking medications that affect immune, cardiovascular,
28
29 endocrine, or psychiatric functioning. Participants also completed fMRI tasks (described
30
31 in a separate report), and additional MRI criteria excluded participants at screening if
32
33 they were left handed, had any non-removable metal (dental fillings okay) or non-MRI
34
35 safety approved implants, or weighed more than 300lbs. Participants were also excluded
36
37 if they had cognitive impairment (<23 on the Mini-Mental State Examination) (Folstein,
38
39 1975). Participants were compensated up to \$200 for participating in this study (part of
40
41 this compensation was for the fMRI-related study activities).
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 If participants were eligible, they were asked to complete a number of study
50
51 measures, which included a questionnaire assessing loneliness and a blood sample (see
52
53 Measures). Participants were then randomized to either the 8-week Mindfulness-Based
54
55 Stress Reduction (MBSR) program or a Wait-List (WL) control condition using a
56
57 computerized number generator. MBSR is a standardized and manualized 8-week
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

mindfulness meditation intervention (Kabat-Zinn, 1990) that has been used widely in behavioral medicine research. MBSR was administered by one of three trained clinicians over three cohorts, and consisted of eight weekly 120-minute group sessions, a day-long retreat in the sixth or seventh week, and 30-minutes of daily home mindfulness practice. Our trained clinicians had co-taught previous MBSR programs together and all maintained a daily mindfulness meditation practice. During each group session, an instructor lead participants in guided mindfulness meditation exercises, mindful yoga and stretching, and group discussions with the intent to foster mindful awareness of one's moment-to-moment experience. The daylong seven-hour retreat during week six or seven of the MBSR intervention focused on integrating and elaborating on the exercises learned during the course. Finally, MBSR participants were asked to participate in 30 minutes of daily home mindfulness practice six days a week during the program. After the 8-week period, all participants returned to complete the same measures as those administered at baseline, including the loneliness questionnaire and another blood sample by blinded study staff. Participants in the WL condition were asked not to participate in any new behavioral health programs during the waiting-period and received the MBSR program after completing the primary dependent measures in the study.

Measures and Data Analytic Approach

MBSR class attendance was recorded by a hypothesis-blind staff member, and participants were asked to complete daily home practice logs indicating how many minutes they practiced each day during the 8-week MBSR program.

Mindfulness Skills. The 39-item *Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills* (KIMS) was administered at baseline and post-treatment as a manipulation check, to assess

1
2
3
4 whether MBSR program increases self-reported use of mindfulness skills (anchored by
5
6 0= Never True to 5=Always True) (Baer et al., 2004). These skills include *observing*
7
8 one's experience (sample item: "I notice when my moods begin to change"; baseline
9
10 study $\alpha=.86$), *describing* (sample item: "I'm good at finding words to describe my
11
12 feelings"; baseline study $\alpha=.87$), *acting with awareness* (sample item: "When I do things,
13
14 my mind wanders and I am easily distracted (reverse-scored)"; baseline study $\alpha=.80$), and
15
16 *acceptance* (sample item: "I tell myself I shouldn't be feeling the way I'm feeling
17
18 (reverse-scored) (baseline study $\alpha=.85$). A composite measure of mindfulness skills was
19
20 created by summing all items, and higher scores indicate greater mindfulness.
21
22
23
24

25
26 *Loneliness.* The composite *UCLA-R Loneliness Scale* (Russell et al., 1980) was
27
28 administered at baseline and post-treatment, and consists of 20 items measuring general
29
30 feelings of loneliness (sample item: "I lack companionship"; anchored 1(never) to 4
31
32 (often); baseline study $\alpha=.92$). Higher scores indicate greater loneliness.
33
34
35

36 Following intent-to-treat principles, mixed effect linear models (MLMs) tested for
37
38 treatment condition (MBSR vs WL) \times time (baseline vs. post-treatment) interactions on
39
40 mindfulness skills and loneliness using SPSS 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York).
41
42

43 Treatment Condition and Time were modeled as fixed effects.
44

45
46 *Gene Expression Profiling and Pro-Inflammatory Protein Analysis.* Participants
47
48 provided 10ml of blood at baseline and post-treatment. Genome-wide transcriptional
49
50 profiling was carried out as previously described (Cole et al., 2010, 2011). Briefly,
51
52 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by density gradient
53
54 centrifugation and total RNA was extracted (RNeasy; Qiagen, Valencia CA), tested for
55
56 suitable mass (Nanodrop ND1000) and integrity (Agilent Bioanalyzer), and converted to
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 fluorescent cRNA for hybridization to human HT-12 BeadChips (Illumina, San Diego
5
6 CA) following the manufacturer's standard protocol in the UCLA Southern California
7
8 Genotyping Consortium Core Laboratory. Quantile normalized gene expression values
9
10 were transformed to \log_2 for genome-wide general linear model analysis. Initial cross-
11
12 sectional analyses examined baseline data collected prior to randomization in order to
13
14 determine whether these samples showed the same associations previously observed
15
16 between individual differences in loneliness and 1) bioinformatic indications of increased
17
18 pro-inflammatory NF- κ B transcription factor activity, and 2) increased monocyte-
19
20 mediated gene expression (Cole et al., 2007, 2011). To prevent confounding loneliness
21
22 with other potential influences on gene expression, all analyses controlled for sex, age,
23
24 white vs. non-white race/ethnicity, and body mass index. To ensure that results were not
25
26 confounded by individual differences in the prevalence of specific leukocyte subtypes
27
28 within the PBMC pool, analyses also controlled for variation in the prevalence of gene
29
30 transcripts marking T lymphocytes subsets (*CD3D*, *CD3E*, *CD4*, *CD8A*), B lymphocytes
31
32 (*CD19*), NK cells (*CD16/FCGR3A*, *CD56/NCAMI*), and monocytes (*CD14*) (Cole et al.,
33
34 2007).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Following cross-sectional analyses of baseline loneliness effects, effects of the
44
45 MBSR intervention on the same two outcomes were evaluated in a 2 (Condition: MBSR
46
47 vs. WL) X 2 (Time: baseline and post-treatment, repeated measure) factorial design,
48
49 controlling for the same covariates as in the cross-sectional analyses. In both analyses,
50
51 differentially expressed genes were identified by linear model parameter estimates
52
53 exceeding a pre-specified substantive effect-size cut-off (Cross-sectional analysis: 25%
54
55 difference over the observed interquartile range of loneliness scores, or 1% per scale
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 point; Condition X Time interaction: $\geq 25\%$ difference across Conditions in the average
5
6 magnitude of the Time effect). Differentially expressed genes were then analyzed by the
7
8 TELiS transcription factor search engine (Cole et al., 2005) to assess the prevalence of
9
10 transcription factor-binding motifs targeted by the pro-inflammatory transcription factor
11
12 NF- κ B (TRANSFAC motif matrices V\$NFKB_Q6 and V\$CREL_01) in the promoter
13
14 regions of genes that were relatively up-regulated over Time in MBSR vs. relatively up-
15
16 regulated over time in the WL conditions, with results averaged over 9 parametric
17
18 variations in motif scan stringency and promoter length (Miller et al., 2009). To identify
19
20 the specific white blood cell subtypes mediating the observed differences in gene
21
22 expression, we carried out Transcript Origin Analysis on the differentially expressed
23
24 genes (Cole et al., 2011).
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 C Reactive Protein (CRP) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) were measured in EDTA
32
33 plasma samples by a high sensitivity ELISA (Immundiagnostik, ALPCO Immunoassays,
34
35 Salem, NH) according to the manufacturer's protocol, but with an extended standard
36
37 curve to a lower limit of detection of 0.2 mg/L. All samples were assayed in duplicate,
38
39 with baseline and post-intervention samples from the same individual tested on the same
40
41 ELISA plate. To correct for non-normality, CRP and IL-6 values were log-transformed
42
43 prior to analysis (non-transformed CRP and IL-6 values are available in Table 3). MLMs
44
45 tested for treatment condition (MBSR vs WL) \times time (baseline vs. post-treatment)
46
47 interactions on log transformed CRP and IL-6 using SPSS 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, New
48
49 York). Treatment Condition and Time were modeled as fixed effects.
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 Results

57 *Preliminary Analyses*

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 The MBSR and WL groups did not significantly differ on any measured
5
6 demographic characteristics at baseline (see Table 1), indicating success of
7
8 randomization. A CONSORT flowchart (Figure 1) depicts the flow of participants
9
10 retained at each phase of the trial. Six participants dropped out of the study prior to
11
12 completing post-treatment measures, reflecting a 15% dropout rate, which is comparable
13
14 to attrition rates observed in published MBSR studies (Baer, 2003). More participants
15
16 dropped out of the MBSR treatment group (N=5) compared to WL (N=1), this difference
17
18 was marginally significant ($\chi^2(1)= 3.04, p= 0.07$). We note that this difference became
19
20 approximately equal when five (N=5) WL participants dropped out of the MBSR
21
22 program when it was offered to them after completing the primary treatment trial. These
23
24 results suggest that the attention and behavioral training demands of MBSR produce
25
26 modest experimental mortality compared to no treatment. Study dropouts in the primary
27
28 treatment trial (N=6) were compared to treatment completers (N=34) on all measured
29
30 baseline demographic characteristics and no significant differences emerged. However,
31
32 the one exception was that males in the study were more likely to drop out (3/8)
33
34 compared to females (3/32) ($\chi^2(1)= 3.78, p= 0.05$).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 MBSR participants who completed the treatment trial (N=15) completed an
44
45 average 7.20 ($SD=1.15$) out of the eight weekly classes offered, and all of these
46
47 participants participated in the six-hour, day-long retreat held in week six of the MBSR
48
49 program. MBSR treatment completers also completed, on average, 737 minutes of home
50
51 meditation practice with home practice meditation compact discs over the course of the
52
53 8-week treatment ($SD= 523.13$). Moreover, as a manipulation check, we also confirmed
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 that the MBSR program (compared to WL) increased self-reported mindfulness skills
5
6 from baseline to post-treatment (see Table 2).
7
8

9 10 11 *MBSR Training and Loneliness*

12
13
14 Consistent with the prediction that MBSR reduces loneliness compared to a WL
15
16 control condition, a mixed effect linear model revealed a significant treatment condition
17
18 × time interaction ($F(1,35)=7.86, p=.008$). Specifically, MBSR participants had
19
20 significant decreases in loneliness from baseline ($M=42.35, SE=2.23$) to post-treatment
21
22 ($M=37.40, SE=2.51$) compared to small increases from baseline ($M=38.40, SE=2.33$) to
23
24 post-treatment ($M=40.75, SE=2.30$) in WL control participants. A pairwise comparison
25
26 found no significant differences between the MBSR and WL groups at baseline (M_{diff}
27
28 $=3.95, p=.23$). As an additional test of MBSR effects on loneliness, we conducted a
29
30 follow-up ANCOVA in our subsample with complete pre-post data ($N=33$): MBSR
31
32 participants ($M=35.65, SE=2.02$) had lower loneliness levels at post-test compared to WL
33
34 participants ($M=42.33, SE=1.73$), after controlling for baseline loneliness ($F(1,30)=6.27,$
35
36 $p=.02, \eta^2=.17$; a large overall effect size).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 46 *MBSR Training, Pro-Inflammatory Gene Expression and Protein Biomarkers*

47
48 To verify that the older adults in this sample showed loneliness-related increases
49
50 in expression of NF- κ B target genes as previously observed (Cole et al., 2007), we
51
52 analyzed relationships between baseline loneliness scores and leukocyte gene expression
53
54 profiles. Results identified 256 genes showing $\geq 25\%$ difference in expression across the
55
56 inter-quartile range of observed scores (87 genes up-regulated in high-lonely individuals,
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 and 169 genes up-regulated in low-lonely individuals; listed in Supplementary Table 1).
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

and 169 genes up-regulated in low-lonely individuals; listed in Supplementary Table 1).
TELiS bioinformatics analysis identified greater prevalence of NF- κ B target genes in the
set of genes relatively up-regulated in high-lonely individuals compared to genes up-
regulated in low-lonely individuals (mean prevalence ratio=1.89, $z=3.05$, $p=.003$, and
ratio=1.21, $z=2.39$, $p=.017$, respectively, for the two distinct NF- κ B DNA target patterns
tested). Transcript origin analyses found genes up-regulated in association with loneliness
to originate predominately from monocytes ($t(68)=2.90$, $p=.003$), and to a lesser extent,
from B lymphocytes ($t(68)=1.71$, $p=.046$).

To determine whether MBSR might reverse the loneliness-related pattern of NF-
 κ B/monocyte-associated gene expression, analyses compared the magnitude of pre-post
change in gene expression across conditions. Results identified 143 genes showing $\geq 25\%$
differential change over time between conditions (69 genes relatively down-regulated in
MBSR subjects relative to WL controls and 74 genes relatively down-regulated in WL
controls; listed in Supplementary Table 2). Consistent with reversal of loneliness-related
transcriptional alterations by MBSR, TELiS bioinformatics analysis indicated reduced
activity of NF- κ B target genes in MBSR-treated subjects relative to WL controls (mean
prevalence ratio=0.67, $z=-2.43$, $p=.015$ and ratio= 0.53, $z=-2.14$, $p=.029$ for the two NF-
 κ B patterns tested). Monocytes were again identified as the primary cellular carrier of
genes down-regulated in MBSR-treated participants ($t(61)=2.08$, $p=.021$).

There was not strong evidence for MBSR in reducing protein markers of
inflammation (as measured by CRP and IL-6), although we observed a marginally
significant effect for CRP that was consistent with the observed group differences in pro-
inflammatory gene expression. Specifically, CRP was not significantly different between

1
2
3
4 the MBSR and WL groups at baseline (Table 1), and MBSR participants had decreases in
5
6 log-transformed CRP compared to WL participants from baseline to post-treatment (a
7
8 marginally significant treatment condition \times time interaction: $F(1,33)=3.39, p=.075$). We
9
10 did not observe a significant treatment condition \times time interaction for log-transformed
11
12 IL-6 ($F(1,32)=.33, p=.57$). Table 3 provides the means and standard errors for raw and
13
14 log-transformed CRP and IL-6.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 *MBSR Training and Health Behaviors*

22
23 To determine whether changes in health behaviors might contribute to the
24
25 observed effects, we conducted secondary data analyses testing whether MBSR affected
26
27 measures of self-reported sleep quality and exercise. Results showed no significant
28
29 treatment condition \times time interaction in a MLM analyzing overall sleep quality on the
30
31 composite Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index measure (Buysse et al., 1989) ($p>.39$) or self-
32
33 reported vigorous exercise ($p>.12$).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Discussion

42
43 Using a randomized controlled trial design, the present study identifies MBSR as
44
45 a novel approach for reducing loneliness in older adults. Although previous studies
46
47 suggest a role for mindfulness-based treatments in reducing distress (Brown et al., 2007)
48
49 and in fostering improved relational well-being (Carson et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2008),
50
51 this is the first study to show that mindfulness meditation training reduces feelings of
52
53 loneliness. We note that although we had no enrollment criteria for recruiting a lonely
54
55 sample, our Los Angeles community sample had elevated levels of loneliness at baseline
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 ($M=41, SD=10$) compared to a Midwestern older adult sample ($M=37, SD=8$) (Cacioppo,
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

($M=41, SD=10$) compared to a Midwestern older adult sample ($M=37, SD=8$) (Cacioppo, Hawkley, & Thisted, 2010) or an undergraduate student sample ($M=37, SD=10$) (Russell, Peplau, & Cutrona, 1980).

In addition to reducing loneliness, the present work provides additional clues into how MBSR may impact health in lonely older adults; namely, by down-regulating pro-inflammatory NF- κ B-related gene expression dynamics that tend to be up-regulated in lonely older adults (Cole et al., 2007, 2011). The functional significance of the change in pro-inflammatory gene expression is not clear, as this study did not assess whether these gene expression effects translate into meaningful differences on inflammatory biology or disease outcomes. However, we note that CRP levels were elevated at baseline in our older adult sample (see Table 3), which suggests that our sample may have elevated cardiovascular disease and mortality risk (cf. Harris et al., 1999; Cesari et al., 2003). And there was some indication of differential trends in log-transformed CRP change over time, suggesting that MBSR may have reduced inflammatory biology in this initial pilot study. We did not observe any evidence for MBSR reducing IL-6, which may reflect the fact that there were low levels of IL-6 in our baseline sample ($M=1.99$ pg/ml, $SE= .48$) (cf. Harris et al., 1999), or the high biological variability commonly observed in spot IL-6 levels (vs. CRP, which provides a more smoothed, time integrated measure of IL-6 activity over the course of previous days) (Epstein et al., 1999; Pepys et al., 2003). Future MBSR RCT studies should evaluate these protein effects in lonely older adult samples with elevated cardiovascular and inflammatory disease risk factors.

Our finding that MBSR reduces pro-inflammatory gene expression provides a potential mechanistic account for previous studies showing that MBSR reduces

1
2
3
4 stimulated pro-inflammatory cytokine production (Carlson et al., 2003, 2007). Moreover,
5
6 our gene expression findings contribute to a new literature showing that behavioral stress
7
8 management interventions, such as Relaxation Response training and Cognitive-
9
10 Behavioral Stress Management training can be associated with gene expression in cells
11
12 of the immune system (Dusek et al., 2008; Antoni et al., 2012). One implication of this
13
14 work is that a common transcription control pathway (i.e., downregulated activity of NF-
15
16 κ B) may link a wide range of behavioral stress management interventions with reduced
17
18 inflammatory disease risk.
19
20
21
22

23
24 Although our study highlights how MBSR can reduce subjective lonely feelings
25
26 and loneliness-related pro-inflammatory gene expression in leukocytes, it will be
27
28 important in future studies to consider the specific psychological and biological pathways
29
30 that may link mindfulness meditation training with these effects. Recent work suggests
31
32 that the health-compromising effects of loneliness are due to one's *subjective perception*
33
34 of isolation and not one's objective number of social contacts (Cole et al., 2007, 2011),
35
36 suggesting that psychological perceptions of social disconnection may be a critical
37
38 component of loneliness. One potential psychological pathway then, is that MBSR
39
40 reduces psychological perceptions of social threat or distress, and reduced distress may
41
42 decrease perceptions of loneliness. As the Buddhist Nun Pema Chodron suggests
43
44 (opening quote), mindfulness meditation training can "turn our fearful patterns upside
45
46 down", reducing the distress that can accompany loneliness (Chodron, 2000). At present,
47
48 we show that MBSR (compared to a WL condition) reduces perceptions of loneliness,
49
50
51 and it will be important for subsequent research to consider how changes in
52
53 psychological pathways such as distress, depression, and threat appraisals in social
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 encounters potentially explain how MBSR reduces loneliness in older adults (cf.
5
6
7 (Cacioppo and Hawkey, 2009).

8
9 The biological pathways linking MBSR to changes in pro-inflammatory gene
10 expression also need to be clarified in future studies. MBSR could potentially alter
11 activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis or sympathetic nervous system,
12 both of which produce stress mediators (e.g., cortisol, norepinephrine, epinephrine) that
13 can modulate NF- κ B activity and pro-inflammatory gene expression (for reviews, Cole,
14 2009; Irwin and Cole, 2011). Indeed, some initial evidence suggests that MBSR alters
15 diurnal cortisol output (Carlson et al., 2004; Witek-Janusek et al., 2008; Brand et al.,
16 2012) (cf. Brown et al., in press). It is important for future studies to test these stress-
17 reduction mediated biological pathways. Finally, it is possible that MBSR effects on
18 gene expression may be explained by changes in health behaviors. Though, we did not
19 find evidence that MBSR altered measures of sleep quality or exercise use in this sample,
20 future studies might benefit from inclusion of objective measures of sleep and activity
21 (e.g., actigraphy, polysomnography).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 One limitation of the present study was the use of a WL control group. Future
42 studies using active control groups that include nonspecific and/or specific components
43 of the intervention will help clarify what aspects of the MBSR program decrease
44 loneliness. It is possible that observed changes in loneliness in MBSR vs. WL control
45 could be explained by non-specific factors (e.g., social support, participant contact with
46 an instructor). For example, it may be that the group-based format of MBSR classes is
47 providing social support (and networking), and these social factors are reducing
48 loneliness. However, it is unlikely that non-specific group support accounts for the
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 observed decreases in loneliness in the MBSR condition, as prior randomized controlled
5
6 trials have found that loneliness is not altered following administration of social support
7
8 and social skills training (Masi et al., 2011). Moreover, when mindfulness meditation
9
10 training is taught individually (i.e., not in a group-based format) stress symptoms are
11
12 reduced along with improvements in markers of physical health (Kabat-Zinn et al., 1998).
13
14 Nevertheless, future RCT studies that control for non-specific factors with well-matched
15
16 active treatment control conditions, and using new control programs as recently described
17
18 (e.g., MacCoon et al., 2012) will advance understanding of the components of MBSR that
19
20 are effectively driving benefit.
21
22
23
24

25 26 *Conclusions*

27
28 The present work makes two novel contributions to the literature. This study
29
30 provides a promising initial indication that the 8-week MBSR program may reduce
31
32 perceptions of loneliness in older adults, which is a well-known risk factor for morbidity
33
34 and mortality in aging populations (Hawkley and Cacioppo, 2010). Second, consistent
35
36 with previous reports (Cole et al., 2007, 2011), we find that loneliness is associated with
37
38 up-regulated expression of pro-inflammatory genes in circulating leukocytes, and that
39
40 MBSR can significantly down-regulate the expression of inflammation-related genes in
41
42 parallel with reductions in loneliness. Although promising, it will be important for future
43
44 studies to replicate and extend these initial findings in larger samples with active control
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 groups.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgments

This project was made possible by generous support from National Institutes of Health (R01-AG034588; R01-AG026364; R01-119159; R01-HL079955; R01-MH091352 (MRI)), the Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology at UCLA, the Inflammatory Biology Core Laboratory of the UCLA Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center funded by the National Institute of Aging (5P30 AG028748), the UCLA Social Genomics Core Laboratory (P30-AG107265), and the Pittsburgh Life Sciences Greenhouse Opportunity Fund. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We thank Jaime Moran, Norr Santz, and Laura Pacilio for technical assistance; Robert Low for help coordinating the study; and our MBSR instructors Howard Blumenfeld, Gloria Kamler, and Catherine Baum. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Antoni MH, Lutgendorf SK, Blomberg B, Carver CS, Lechner S, Diaz A, Stagl J, Arevalo JMG,
8
9 Cole SW (2012) Cognitive-Behavioral Stress Management Reverses Anxiety-Related
10
11 Leukocyte Transcriptional Dynamics. *Biol Psychiat* 71:366–372.
12
13
14
15 Baer RA (2003) Mindfulness training as a clinical intervention: A conceptual and empirical review.
16
17 *Clin Psychol Sci Pract* 10:125–143.
18
19
20
21 Baer RA, Smith GT, Allen KB (2004) Assessment of mindfulness by self-report. *Assessment*
22
23 11:191–206.
24
25
26
27 Brand S, Holsboer-Trachsler E, Naranjo JR, Schmidt S (2012) Influence of Mindfulness Practice on
28
29 Cortisol and Sleep in Long-Term and Short-Term Meditators. *Neuropsychobiology* 65:109–
30
31 118.
32
33
34
35 Brown KW, Ryan RM, Creswell JD (2007) Mindfulness: Theoretical foundations and evidence for
36
37 its salutary effects. *Psychol Inq* 18:211–237.
38
39
40
41 Brown KW, Ryan RM, Creswell JD, Niemiec CP (2008) Beyond me: Mindful responses to social
42
43 threat. In: *Transcending self-interest: Psychological explorations of the quiet ego*, pp 75–84.
44
45 Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
46
47
48
49 Brown KW, Weinstein N, Creswell JD (in press) Trait mindfulness modulates neuroendocrine and
50
51 affective responses to social evaluative threat. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*.
52
53
54
55 Buyse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ (1989) The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
56
57 Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiat Res* 28:193–213.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC (2009) Perceived social isolation and cognition. *Trends Cogn Sci*
5
6 13:447–454.
7
8

9
10 Carlson LE, Speca M, Faris P, Patel KD (2007) One year pre-post intervention follow-up of
11
12 psychological, immune, endocrine and blood pressure outcomes of mindfulness-based stress
13
14 reduction (MBSR) in breast and prostate cancer outpatients. *Brain Behav Immun* 21:1038–
15
16 1049.
17
18

19
20
21 Carlson LE, Speca M, Patel KD, Goodey E (2003) Mindfulness-based stress reduction in relation to
22
23 quality of life, mood, symptoms of stress, and immune parameters in breast and prostate
24
25 cancer outpatients. *Psychosom Med* 65:571–581.
26
27

28
29 Carlson LE, Speca M, Patel KD, Goodey E (2004) Mindfulness-based stress reduction in relation to
30
31 quality of life, mood, symptoms of stress and levels of cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone
32
33 sulfate (DHEAS) and melatonin in breast and prostate cancer outpatients.
34
35 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 29:448–474.
36
37

38
39
40 Carson JW, Carson KM, Gil KM, Baucom DH (2004) Mindfulness-based relationship
41
42 enhancement*. *Behav Ther* 35:471–494.
43
44

45
46 Cesari M, Penninx BWJH, Newman AB, Kritchevsky SB, Nicklas BJ, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Rubin SM,
47
48 Ding J, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, others (2003) Inflammatory markers and onset of
49
50 cardiovascular events. *Circulation* 108:2317–2322.
51
52

53
54 Chodron P (2000) *Six kinds of loneliness*. Shambhala Sun.
55
56

57
58 Cole SW (2009) Social regulation of human gene expression. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 18:132–137.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Cole SW, Arevalo JMG, Takahashi R, Sloan EK, Lutgendorf SK, Sood AK, Sheridan JF, Seeman
5
6 TE (2010) Computational identification of gene–social environment interaction at the
7
8 human IL6 locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 107:5681–5686.
9
10
11
12 Cole SW, Hawkey LC, Arevalo JM, Sung CY, Rose RM, Cacioppo JT (2007) Social regulation of
13
14 gene expression in human leukocytes. *Genome Biol* 8:R189–R189.13.
15
16
17
18 Cole SW, Hawkey LC, Arevalo JMG, Cacioppo JT (2011) Transcript origin analysis identifies
19
20 antigen-presenting cells as primary targets of socially regulated gene expression in
21
22 leukocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108:3080–3085.
23
24
25
26 Cole SW, Yan W, Galic Z, Arevalo J, Zack JA (2005) Expression-based monitoring of transcription
27
28 factor activity: the ^{TE}iS database. *Bioinformatics* 21:803–810.
29
30
31
32
33 Dusek JA, Otu HH, Wohlhueter AL, Bhasin M, Zerbini LF, Joseph MG, Benson H, Libermann TA
34
35 (2008) Genomic counter-stress changes induced by the relaxation response. *PLoS One*
36
37 3:e2576.
38
39
40
41 Epstein FH, Gabay C, Kushner I (1999) Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to
42
43 inflammation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 340:448–454.
44
45
46
47 Finch CE (2007) *The biology of human longevity: inflammation, nutrition, and aging in the*
48
49 *evolution of lifespans*. Burlington, MA: Academic Press.
50
51
52
53 Findlay RA (2003) Interventions to reduce social isolation amongst older people: where is the
54
55 evidence? *Ageing Soc* 23:647–658.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Folstein MF (1975) Folstein SE, McHugh PR: Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the
5
6 cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 12:189–198.
7
8

9
10 Harris TB, Ferrucci L, Tracy RP, Corti MC, Wacholder S, Ettinger Jr WH, Heimovitz H, Cohen HJ,
11
12 Wallace R (1999) Associations of elevated Interleukin-6 and C-Reactive protein levels with
13
14 mortality in the elderly*. *Am J Med* 106:506–512.
15
16

17
18 Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT (2010) Loneliness matters: a theoretical and empirical review of
19
20 consequences and mechanisms. *Ann Behav Med* 40:218–227.
21
22

23
24 Hölzel BK, Lazar SW, Gard T, Schuman-Olivier Z, Vago DR, Ott U (2011) How does mindfulness
25
26 meditation work? Proposing mechanisms of action from a conceptual and neural
27
28 perspective. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 6:537–559.
29
30

31
32 Irwin MR, Cole SW (2011) Reciprocal regulation of the neural and innate immune systems. *Nat*
33
34 *Rev Immunol* 11:625–632.
35
36

37
38 Kabat-Zinn J (1990) Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face
39
40 stress, pain, and illness. New York, NY: Delta.
41
42

43
44 Kabat-Zinn J, Wheeler E, Light T, Skillings A, Scharf MJ, Copley TG, Hosmer D, Bernhard JD
45
46 (1998) Influence of a mindfulness meditation-based stress reduction intervention on rates of
47
48 skin clearing in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis undergoing phototherapy (UVB)
49
50 and photochemotherapy (PUVA). *Psychosom Med* 60:625–632.
51
52

53
54
55 Lengacher CA, Kip KE, Barta MK, Post-White J, Jacobsen P, Groer M, Lehman B, Moscoso MS,
56
57 Kadel R, Le N, others (2012) A Pilot Study Evaluating the Effect of Mindfulness-Based
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Stress Reduction on Psychological Status, Physical Status, Salivary Cortisol, and
5
6 Interleukin-6 Among Advanced-Stage Cancer Patients and Their Caregivers. *Journal of*
7
8 *Holistic Nursing* Available at:
9
10 <http://jhn.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/02/21/0898010111435949.abstract> [Accessed
11
12 July 7, 2012].
13
14
15
16

17 MacCoon DG, Imel ZE, Rosenkranz MA, Sheftel JG, Weng HY, Sullivan JC, Bonus KA, Stoney
18
19 CM, Salomons TV, Davidson RJ, others (2012) The validation of an active control
20
21 intervention for Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction (MBSR). *Behav Res Ther* 50:3–12.
22
23
24

25 Masi CM, Chen HY, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT (2011) A meta-analysis of interventions to reduce
26
27 loneliness. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev* 15:219–266.
28
29
30

31 Miller GE, Chen E, Fok AK, Walker H, Lim A, Nicholls EF, Cole S, Kober MS (2009) Low early-
32
33 life social class leaves a biological residue manifested by decreased glucocorticoid and
34
35 increased proinflammatory signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 106:14716–14721.
36
37
38

39 Olsen RB, Olsen J, Gunner-Svensson F, Waldstrom B (1991) Social networks and longevity. A 14
40
41 year follow-up study among elderly in Denmark. *Soc Sci Med* 33:1189–1195.
42
43
44

45 Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM, others (2003) C-reactive protein: a critical update. *Journal of Clinical*
46
47 *Investigation* 111:1805–1812.
48
49
50

51 Russell D, Peplau LA, Cutrona CE (1980) The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: Concurrent and
52
53 discriminant validity evidence. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 39:472–480.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Thurston RC, Kubzansky LD (2009) Women, loneliness, and incident coronary heart disease.
5
6 Psychosom Med 71:836–842.
7
8

9
10 Tilvis RS, Laitala V, Routasalo PE, Pitkala KH (2011) Suffering from loneliness indicates
11
12 significant mortality risk of older people. J Aging Res 2011:1–5.
13
14

15
16 Wilson RS, Krueger KR, Arnold SE, Schneider JA, Kelly JF, Barnes LL, Tang Y, Bennett DA
17
18 (2007) Loneliness and risk of Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiat 64:234–240.
19
20

21
22 Witek-Janusek L, Albuquerque K, Chroniak KR, Chroniak C, Durazo-Arvizu R, Mathews HL
23
24 (2008) Effect of mindfulness based stress reduction on immune function, quality of life and
25
26 coping in women newly diagnosed with early stage breast cancer. Brain Behav Immun
27
28 22:969–981.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


Figure Legend

Figure 1. CONSORT flowchart of participants retained at each phase of the trial.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Creswell et al., Meditation Reduces Loneliness

Figure 1. CONSORT flowchart of participants retained at each phase of the trial.



Creswell et al., Meditation Reduces Loneliness

Table I. Baseline Characteristics of Randomized Participants.

Characteristic	MBSR Group	WL Group	Difference Statistic
Age [mean years (SD)]	64.35 (6)	65.16 (8)	$t(37) = -.34, p = 0.74$
Gender			$\chi^2(1) = .33, p = 0.57$
Male	3	5	
Female	17	15	
Ethnicity			$\chi^2(5) = 3.55, p = 0.62$
Caucasian	13	13	
African American	2	3	
Asian American	2	1	
Latino(a)	3	1	
Native American	0	1	
Other	0	1	
Employment Status			$\chi^2(3) = 5.33, p = 0.15$
Retired	10	4	
Unemployed	0	1	
Part-time	5	4	
Full-time	5	10	
Education			$\chi^2(3) = 2.12, p = 0.55$
High school degree	0	1	
Some college	6	3	
College degree	3	4	
Graduate work	11	11	
Body Mass Index	25.22 (4)	25.22 (4)	$t(37) = .003, p = 0.99$
Cognitive Impairment (MMSE)	28.00 (2)	27.84 (2)	$t(37) = .24, p = 0.81$
Log-transformed CRP	.15 (.58)	-.02 (.74)	$t(37) = .86, p = 0.39$
Log-transformed IL-6	.10 (.42)	.03 (.34)	$t(37) = -.58, p = 0.24$

Notes: Standard deviation values are provided in parentheses in the MBSR group and WL Group columns.

MBSR = Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction, WL = WL; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Exam; CRP = C

Reactive Protein

Table 2. Effects of the MBSR program on self-reported mindfulness skills, as measured by the Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills (KIMS).

		Pre Mean	SE	Post Mean	SE	F-value	p-value
KIMS	MBSR	137.15	3.96	148.24	4.19	19.72	<.01
	WL	137.61	3.99	133.88	3.96		
Observe	MBSR	37.60	1.74	41.48	1.86	6.39	.02
	WL	40.77	1.75	40.40	1.74		
Describe	MBSR	31.75	1.29	34.20	1.37	8.77	.01
	WL	30.07	1.30	29.08	1.29		
Awareness	MBSR	32.00	1.38	34.29	1.46	4.48	.04
	WL	32.80	1.39	32.50	1.38		
Judgment	MBSR	35.80	1.44	38.25	1.60	6.03	.02
	WL	33.90	1.46	31.90	1.44		

Notes: Means and Standard Errors at baseline (Pre) and post-treatment (Post) in the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Wait-List (WL) groups for the mindfulness skills composite (KIMS) and each of the KIMS subscales (Observe, Describe, Awareness, Judgment). F and corresponding p-values refer to the treatment condition \times time interaction.

Table 3. Effects of the MBSR Program on CRP and IL-6 in mg/L.

		Pre Mean	SE	Post Mean	SE
Log-Transformed CRP	MBSR	.15	.13	.03	.14
	WL	-.02	.14	.03	.14
Raw CRP	MBSR	2.98	1.15	2.09	1.25
	WL	3.42	1.17	3.06	1.17
Log-Transformed IL-6	MBSR	.10	.08	.14	.09
	WL	.03	.08	.03	.08
Raw IL-6	MBSR	2.31	.69	2.45	.72
	WL	1.79	.71	1.41	.71

Notes: Means and Standard Errors at baseline (Pre) and post-treatment (Post) in the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Wait-List (WL) groups for CRP and IL-6.

Research Highlights

Mindfulness meditation training reduces loneliness and pro-inflammatory gene expression in older adults.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT